



# Gambling-Related Suicide: Qualitative Research Grant

## Application Guidelines

### CONTENTS

1. ABOUT THE GAMBLING-RELATED SUICIDE RESEARCH PROGRAMME	2
2. ABOUT THIS FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	2
3. ABOUT THE FUNDS USED TO SUPPORT THIS GRANT	8
4. ABOUT GREO EVIDENCE INSIGHTS	8
5. HOW TO APPLY	8
6. PEER REVIEW AND ADJUDICATION PROCESS	14
7. EVALUATION CRITERIA	16
8. REFERENCES	18

# 1. ABOUT THE GAMBLING-RELATED SUICIDE RESEARCH PROGRAMME

The goal of the Gambling-Related Suicide Research Programme is to fund research in the United Kingdom (UK) that will improve our understanding of the links between gambling and suicide in order to increase the effectiveness of regulatory measures, harm reduction, prevention, postvention and ultimately reduce the number of gambling-related suicides in the UK. More information about the programme may be found [here](#).

To do so the research programme is making the following funding opportunities available:

- Quantitative Research grants - £350,000 available
- Qualitative Research grants - £600,000 available

## 2. ABOUT THIS FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Greo Evidence Insights is seeking applications for **Qualitative Research Grants**. There is **£600,000** available over a two-year term. The number of grants awarded will depend on the requested budgets and decisions of the peer review committee (see Section 6).

We are seeking research that will lead to a better understanding of the relationship between gambling and suicide. Specifically, such research could contribute to a better understanding of how individuals experience gambling-related suicidality, the key patterns, contributory and mitigating factors that are specific to gambling-related suicide or suicidal ideation, the role that gambling products (or gambling promotion/advertising), the gambling environment and practices may have in gambling-related suicide/ideation, and warning signs and potential critical points of intervention.<sup>1</sup>

To ensure the proposed work is grounded in recent evidence, applicants should review work done by others in the UK (e.g., John et al., 2019; John et al., 2019; Office

---

<sup>1</sup> These possible objectives are drawn from work conducted by Gambling with Lives entitled; "[Gambling-related suicide research – summary of scoping undertaken by Gambling with Lives.](#)"

for Health Improvement & Disparities, 2023; Sharman et al., 2022; Wardle et al., 2020; Wardle & McManus, 2021) and internationally (e.g., Andreeva et al., 2022; Erevik et al., 2022; Karlsson & Håkansson, 2018; Livingstone & Rintoul, 2021; Marionneau & Nikkinen, 2022).

Applicants should also take account of the work of organisations who have done significant work on the perspectives of those with lived experience of gambling-related harm and those bereaved by gambling-related suicide (e.g., [Gambling with Lives](#), [GamFam](#) and [GamLearn](#)).

## 2. 1 Eligibility

**2. 1. 1 Individuals and organisations.** The principal investigator(s) must be based in a UK institution such as a university, NHS Trust or not-for-profit research organisation in the UK (see [Greo's General Funding Policies](#)).

**2. 1. 2 Conflicts of Interest<sup>2</sup>.** Each member of the research team is required to complete a Conflicts of Interest (COI) declaration (see Section 5. 2. 10). Applications that include research team members with *Significant COIs* are **ineligible to receive funding**. Research teams that include members with *Other Potential COIs* are eligible for funding. These conflicts must be declared and will be graded by peer reviewers when the applications are evaluated (see Section 7).

For the purposes of this funding opportunity, the following represent *Significant COIs*:

- if you have been an employee, contractor, partner, or principal working with the gambling industry<sup>3</sup> in the last 5 years.
- if you have investments<sup>4</sup> in (valued at more than £5,000 overall) or commercial business interests associated with the gambling industry. This

---

<sup>2</sup> Elements of this section are drawn from the World Health Organization's "Declaration of Interests for WHO Experts"

<sup>3</sup> For the purposes of this conflicts of interest declaration, the gambling industry is defined as for profit, private companies or corporations that profit directly from gambling. It does not include state owned-monopolies, regulators, or governmental bodies that receive revenue from the proceeds of gambling.

<sup>4</sup> This excludes mutual funds, pension funds, or similar investments that are broadly diversified and on which you exercise no control.

includes, but is not limited to stocks, bonds, commercial business interests such as partnerships, board memberships, etc.

- if you have intellectual property rights that may be enhanced or diminished by the outcome of the proposed research.
- if you have provided expert opinion as part of a regulatory, legislative, or judicial process on behalf of the gambling industry in the last 5 years.
- if you or your research unit or organisation has received compensation, financial support, or research funding (not including regulatory settlement funds) or other sorts of gifts or compensation directly from the gambling industry to consult, advise, conduct research, or provide any other service in the last 5 years.

The following represents *Other Potential COIs* that also must be declared:

- if you have worked for an organisation with an interest related to the gambling industry in the last 5 years. This includes, but is not limited to state owned-monopolies, regulators, or other governmental bodies overseeing or administering gambling-related regulation, policies, funding, etc.
- if you or your research unit or organisation have received research support or other sorts of gifts or compensation from an organisation with an interest related to the gambling industry in the last 5 years. This includes but is not limited to: a state monopoly, a regulator, an organisation that distributes funds provided voluntarily by the gambling industry, an organisation that distributes funds extracted involuntarily from the gambling industry, an industry-related levy or international equivalent.

**2.1.3 Interdisciplinary research team.** Research teams must be interdisciplinary and include at least one researcher with qualitative experience studying suicide, suicidal ideation, or self-harm behaviour and at least one researcher with qualitative experience studying gambling-related harm. Teams that do not include members with this experience are ineligible.

Applicants should describe the expertise of their research team in the application (see Section 5.2.8). This will be graded by peer reviewers and used to evaluate the

application (see Section 7). Research teams proposing projects with larger budgets should consider creating a consortium comprised of multiple institutions/entities.

**2.1.4 Involvement of people with lived experience of gambling harm.** Applicants must demonstrate how they will work with those with lived experience (LE) of gambling related harm including those bereaved by gambling-related suicide. This shall include but not be limited to the conception or design of the research, acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data, writing of the final report or revising it critically to ensure it is grounded in lived experience. Simply including people with lived experience as participants in the research (i.e., as those studied or researched) is insufficient to meet this eligibility criterion.

Applicants should describe how they will work with those with LE in the application (see Section 5.2.5). The quality of this engagement will be graded by peer reviewers when the applications are evaluated (see Section 7). In addition, applicants should include a description of how they will provide care and support and ensure the well-being of those with lived experience that are involved in the research.

**Note.** We recognise that working with a research team to develop an application can require a considerable amount of time and effort and that individuals and groups with LE may not have the same degree of infrastructure as research institutions to support proposal development. In recognition of this, a fund has been set aside to compensate those with lived experience who were part of an unsuccessful grant application team. After the adjudicated competition has ended and successful applications have been notified, LE groups that were part of an unsuccessful research team will be invited to apply for up to £1,000 to help offset costs associated with time spent preparing the application<sup>5</sup>.

If you have any questions about the eligibility criteria outlined above or are unsure whether your application meets these criteria, please contact us at [grs-funding@greo.ca](mailto:grs-funding@greo.ca) with the subject line “Eligibility: Gambling-Related Suicide Qualitative Research Grant” by 31 March 2023.

---

<sup>5</sup> Those interested in applying for this funding should contact [grs-funding@greo.ca](mailto:grs-funding@greo.ca). To help estimate costs please refer to the section entitled “Payment Rates” at the following link: <https://www.nihr.ac.uk/documents/payment-guidance-for-researchers-and-professionals/27392> - recognising these rates do not take into consideration inflation since they were developed in 2009.

## 2. 2 Amount and Term

### 2. 2. 1 Award amount

Applicants can request a maximum of up to £600,000 including all expenses, direct and indirect, and all applicable taxes, for projects up to a 2-year term. Applicant funding requests must be proportionate to the requirements of the research proposed, which is expected to vary by research approach and scope of proposal activities. Research teams proposing projects with larger budgets should consider creating a consortium comprised of multiple institutions/entities.

### 2. 2. 2 Award Term and application schedule

The term of the project is two years. Given that we intend that results from the research projects will inform upcoming research and knowledge mobilisation projects, it is important that projects can be completed within this timeframe. Unless otherwise indicated, projects will start on 1 July 2023 and must be completed no later than 30 June 2025.

#### Schedule

1 March 2023	Call for proposals opens
31 March 2023	Expression of Interest (EOI) deadline (23:59 GMT)
1 May 2023	Application submission deadline (23:59 GMT)
29 May 2023	Funding notifications
1 July 2023	Project start date
30 June 2025	Project completion date

## 2. 3 Conditions

### 2. 3. 1 Pre-registration

Applicants should consider including a plan to pre-register their research questions or hypotheses in an open, online registry if appropriate. If pre-registration is not appropriate, please explain why it is not.

### 2. 3. 2 Deliverables

A summary report should be provided that will be posted to Greo's website (or another appropriate organisation's website) following project completion. Copyright for this report remains with the author. A Creative Commons CC-BY-NC-ND will be applied. Authors are encouraged to submit a separate article to an academic journal. Such publications should be made open access and any anticipated open access fees should be included in the project budget.

In autumn 2025, an in-person symposium will be held for all those funded via the gambling-related suicide research programme. Successful applicants will be expected to present final or preliminary findings at this symposium. Applicants do **not** need to incorporate these costs into the budget of their proposed research as travel and accommodation will be covered by the programme.

In 2025 (exact time to be determined) a call for proposals to engage in knowledge mobilisation of the findings emerging from the various projects funded by the programme will be launched. Those awarded research grants will be expected to cooperate with those funded to mobilise research findings emerging from the programme.

### 2. 3. 3 Resulting Data

If data are collected, and appropriate permissions granted data should be deposited in a publicly available data repository such as the [Open Science Forum](#), [UK Data Service](#), or the [Greo data repository](#) within one year of project completion. In the spirit of open science, the data and metadata will be made available for review and secondary analysis.

### 3. ABOUT THE FUNDS USED TO SUPPORT THIS GRANT

Information about the funds used to support this grant may be found [here](#).

### 4. ABOUT GREO EVIDENCE INSIGHTS

More information about Greo Evidence Insights may be found [here](#).

## 5. HOW TO APPLY

### 5.1 Expression of Interest (EOI)

Please send an email with the subject line “**EOI: Gambling-Related Suicide Qualitative Research Grant**” to [grs-funding@greo.ca](mailto:grs-funding@greo.ca) by 31 March 2023 at 23:59 (GMT) that indicates:

- Primary contact information [i.e., principal investigator(s)].
- Name and address of the research organisation that, if successful, funds will be directed to.
- Description of the project team (names, roles, and relevant expertise).
- A statement indicating that no member of the research team has any significant conflicts of interest (as defined in Section 2.1.2).
- A statement indicating that the research team is interdisciplinary (as defined in Section 2.1.3).
- A statement that the proposed research will involve those with lived experience from design, delivery, analysis to implementation (as defined in Section 2.1.4).
- Suggestions for peer reviewers (optional). In Section 6 we describe in detail the peer review and adjudication process including the criteria used to determine the eligibility of peer reviewers. If you have suggestions for subject experts you believe will meet these inclusion criteria please provide their



name(s) and affiliations. Please note that, though suggestions are appreciated and will benefit the peer review process, making a suggestion in no way guarantees they will be selected for the review committee or that they will review specific applications.

Please note that we use EOs to assemble an appropriate review committee. Therefore, **applicants who wish to be considered for a grant must submit an EOI by the deadline above.**

## 5.2 Full Application

The full application package should be sent as one continuous PDF email attachment to [grs-funding@greo.ca](mailto:grs-funding@greo.ca) by 1 May 2023 at 23:59 (GMT). The email subject line should be “**APPLICATION: Gambling-Related Suicide Qualitative Research Grant**”.

Please send questions and comments to [grs-funding@greo.ca](mailto:grs-funding@greo.ca).

Please ensure the application includes the following headings and sub-headings:

TITLE PAGE

ABSTRACT

RESEARCH PROPOSAL

Literature review and significance

Methods

Analytical strategy

PROPOSED IMPACT

INVOLVEMENT OF PERSONS WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

BUDGET AND TIMELINE

TEAM CAPACITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE

TEAM MEMBERS' CURRICULUM VITAEs

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONs

APPENDICES (IF APPLICABLE)

### 5. 2. 1 Title page

Include the **each** of the following pieces of information on the title page:

- Study title.
- Primary contact information [i.e., principal investigator(s)].
- Name and address of the research organisation that, if successful, funds will be directed to.
- Name of members of the research team
  - contact information.
  - institutional affiliations.
- Start and end date of the proposed research project.
- Total budget amount requested.
- A statement indicating that no member of the research team has any significant conflicts of interest (as defined in Section 2. 1. 2).
- A statement indicating that the research team is interdisciplinary (as defined in Section 2. 1. 3).
- A statement about how that the proposed research intends to work with those with lived experience from design, delivery, analysis to implementation (as defined in Section 2. 1. 4).

### **5. 2. 2 Abstract (maximum 300 words)**

Provide a summary abstract.

### **5. 2. 3 Research proposal (maximum 7 pages)**

The proposal must not exceed seven (7) single spaced pages, minimum 11-point font size (excluding references, appendices, and other components of the application, such as the title page, abstract, ethical considerations, etc.). The proposal section should include:

- *Literature review and rationale:* Briefly summarise the scientific literature and describe the information gaps. Present the purpose of the research and/or relevant research questions and how the proposed research will fill relevant information gaps.
- *Methods:* Describe in as much detail as possible the proposed methods that will be used to address the purpose of the research or answer research questions. This includes, but is not limited to, how participant recruitment will be accomplished, how data will be collected, and appropriateness of a pre-registration plan. Note ethical consideration can be described in Section 5. 2. 6.
- *Analytical strategy:* Describe the analytical strategy to be conducted including how the proposed analysis will address the purpose of the proposed research and how the analysis will answer research questions.

### **5. 2. 4 Proposed impact (maximum 1 page)**

Describe how the proposed research will help achieve the goal of the Gambling-Related Suicide Research Programme. Specifically describe how the proposed research will improve our understanding of the links between gambling and suicide in order to increase the effectiveness of regulatory, harm reduction, prevention, postvention and ultimately reduce the number of gambling-related suicides in the UK.

### **5. 2. 5 Involvement of persons with lived experience (maximum 1 page)**

Acknowledging there may be repetition with the research proposal Section 5.2.3, describe how the proposed research team will work with and support those with LE of gambling-related harm or those bereaved by bereaved by gambling-related suicide from design, delivery, analysis to implementation. In addition, applicants

should include a description of how they will provide care and support and ensure the well-being of those with LE that are involved in the research.

### **5. 2. 6 Ethical considerations (maximum 1 page)**

Describe the ethical considerations that must be considered in the proposed research and how will they be dealt with by the research team. If ethics approval is not considered necessary, please provide an explanation why it is not. Note that when required, funding is conditional upon ethics approval being granted. In addition, applicants should include a description of how they will provide care and support and ensure the well-being of those with lived experience that are involved in the research.

### **5. 2. 7 Budget and timeline**

Complete the downloadable [budget summary and timeline template](#). Allowable budget expense categories include personnel, equipment, supplies, and travel expenses (for guidance see [Greo's Eligible Expenses Guidelines](#)). If applicable, please remember to include funds to make any anticipated academic publications open access. Complete the timeline chart with key milestones and deliverables (found in the same Excel workbook). Research teams proposing projects with larger budgets should consider creating a consortium comprised of multiple institutions/entities.

### **5. 2. 8 Team capacity and infrastructure (maximum 1 page)**

Describe the capacity of the team to complete the work proposed as well as how the applicants' institutions or organisations are appropriate and will support the research.

### **5. 2. 9 Curriculum vitae of each team member**

Submit the most current version of each research team members' curriculum vitae.

## 5. 2. 10 Conflicts of Interest declaration

For **each member of the research team**, please include a COI declaration. This declaration should include whether:

- in the last 5 years you have been an employee of the gambling industry.<sup>6</sup>
- you have investments<sup>7</sup> in (valued at more than £5,000 overall) or commercial business interests associated with the gambling industry. This includes, but is not limited to stocks, bonds, commercial business interests such as partnerships, board memberships, etc.
- you have any intellectual property rights that may be enhanced or diminished by the outcome of the proposed research.
- in the last 5 years you have provided expert opinion as part of a regulatory, legislative, or judicial process on behalf of the gambling industry.
- in the last 5 years you or your research unit or organisation has received research support or other sorts of gifts or compensation from the gambling industry to consult, advise, conduct research, or provide any other service.
- in the last 5 years you have worked for an organisation with an interest related to the gambling industry. This includes, but is not limited to state owned-monopolies, regulators, or other governmental bodies overseeing or administering gambling-related regulation, policies, funding, etc.
- in the last 5 years you or your research unit or organisation have received research support or other sorts of gifts or compensation from an organisation with an interest related to the gambling industry. This includes but is not limited to: a state monopoly, a regulator, an organisation that distributes funds provided voluntarily by the gambling industry, an organisation that distributes funds extracted involuntarily from the gambling industry, or an industry-related levy or international equivalent.

---

<sup>6</sup> For the purposes of this conflicts of interest declaration, the gambling industry is defined as for profit, private companies or corporations that profit directly from gambling. It does not include state owned-monopolies, regulators, or governmental bodies that receive revenue from the proceeds of gambling.

<sup>7</sup> This excludes mutual funds, pension funds, or similar investments that are broadly diversified and on which you exercise no control.

### **5. 2. 11 Appendices (if applicable)**

Additional information may be submitted to support the application. Appendices are limited to a maximum of five (5) pages. Please combine any appendices with the rest of the application into a single PDF.

## **6. PEER REVIEW AND ADJUDICATION PROCESS**

A peer review committee will assess the quality of the research and potential for impact. In constructing the committee every effort will be made to ensure it includes a diversity of perspectives and expertise. Specifically, attempts will be made to ensure the peer review committee includes one person with lived experience, one person with an interest in public health / gambling policy, one gambling researcher, and one suicide researcher. Though there will be a preference for peer reviewers from the UK, we may also seek peer reviewers from the international community. The steps in the peer review and adjudication process are outlined below:

1. Once all EOIs have been received, Greo will review each to ensure it meets the eligibility criteria (see Section 2. 1). No quality assessment will be done at this stage and provided all EOIs meet eligibility criteria all will be peer reviewed.
2. All potential peer reviewers will be contacted by Greo and screened for COIs (see Section 2.1.2). Those who have significant conflicts of interest will be excluded. In addition, potential peer reviewers will be asked to declare any prior relationships they have with grant applicants. Reviewers will not participate in the review of, or funding decisions for applications submitted by:
  - a. A student they are supervising or have supervised;
  - b. A person who has supervised them;
  - c. A person with whom they have co-authored or shared a grant in the past three years;
  - d. A person with whom they have had long-standing scientific differences;  
or

- e. A person with whom they have a close personal friendship.

Provided they meet the screening criteria described above, they will be invited to review applications. This process will continue until we have a minimum of three reviewers that can review each application. All peer reviewers will be offered honorariums for their efforts. Among those selected to peer review applications Greo will seek self-nominations to chair the committee.

When the full application packages are received, Greo will send the applications to peer reviewers for independent review. Each reviewer will be provided with the evaluation rubric available in Section 7 (below) and will be asked to conduct their review by assigning a score to each section and by providing written comments.

3. After each reviewer has independently reviewed each application, Greo will aggregate the reviews and convene a meeting to review and discuss the evaluations. All applications will be rank ordered by score. During the meeting the peer review committee chair will steer the group to a consensus decision regarding which applications to fund, based on scores and written comments. During this process the scores, comments, amount requested, and group discussion will be considered when deciding which applicants will receive the awards.
4. Once the review panel has determined the successful applicants, Greo will administer and manage the grants. Text-based comments from the reviewers will be shared with all applicants (both successful and unsuccessful), but not numeric scores.

## 7. EVALUATION CRITERIA

APPLICATION SECTION	REVIEWER SCORE	WEIGHT	REVIEWER INSTRUCTIONS
<b>Research Proposal</b>		<b>40%</b>	
<b>Literature review and rationale</b>	<i>X/10</i>	10%	Degree to which this section clearly describes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- information gaps;</li> <li>- the purpose of the research and/or research questions; and</li> <li>- how the proposed project will fill those gaps.</li> </ul>
<b>Methods</b>	<i>X/10</i>	17%	Degree to which the proposed methods will adequately address the purpose of the research and answer the research questions.
<b>Analytical Strategy</b>	<i>X/10</i>	13%	Degree to which the analytical strategy will adequately address the purpose of the proposed research and answers the research questions.
<b>Potential Impact</b>	<b><i>X/10</i></b>	<b>20%</b>	Degree to which the proposed research will improve our understanding of the links between gambling and suicide/suicidal ideation to increase the effectiveness of regulatory measures, harm reduction, prevention, and postvention interventions and ultimately reduce the number of gambling-related suicides in the UK.
<b>Involvement of lived experience</b>	<b><i>X/10</i></b>	<b>10%</b>	Degree to which the proposed research demonstrates how it will work with people with lived experience of gambling related harm or those bereaved by gambling-related suicide from design, delivery, analysis to implementation
<b>Ethical considerations</b>	<b><i>X/10</i></b>	<b>5%</b>	Degree to which ethical considerations are considered and taken into account by the applicants.
<b>Budget and timeline</b>		<b>10%</b>	
	<i>X/10</i>	5%	Degree to which the project appears feasible within the timeline.



APPLICATION SECTION	REVIEWER SCORE	WEIGHT	REVIEWER INSTRUCTIONS
	<i>x/10</i>	5%	Degree to which budget breakdown logically reflects proposed project work. If the budget is relatively large, is application being submitted by a consortium?
<b>Team capacity and infrastructure</b>		<b>10%</b>	
	<i>x/10</i>	5%	Degree to which the research team is experienced and capable of carrying out the proposed project.
	<i>x/10</i>	5%	Degree to which the applicants' institutions or organisations are appropriate and will support the research.
<b>Team conflicts of interest</b>	<b><i>x/10</i></b>	<b>5%</b>	Degree to which the research team includes members with <i>other conflicts of interest</i> (see Section 2.1.2). For this assessment scoring should be made as follows: 10 represents no or minimal conflicts of interest reported among the application team and 0 represents greater conflicts of interest.

Note at the end of the evaluation each peer reviewer will be asked to categorise each application into one of three categories:

- **Fundable as is:** The proposed research, as written is sufficiently described and is of sufficient quality to receive funding.
- **Fundable with revisions:** The proposed research does not meet the criteria to be fundable. However, with additional information, it may be re-evaluated.
- **Not Fundable:** Even though the project team meets the eligibility criteria, the proposed research is not fundable. This could be due to significant flaws in the methods or analytic strategy, or that any of the other elements assessed are such that the reviewer deems the research not fundable. Reviewers are required to describe why a project should not be funded.

Greo Evidence Insights reserves the right to not award funds if a sufficient number of quality applications are not received.

## 8. REFERENCES

- Andreeva, M., Audette-Chapdelaine, S., & Brodeur, M. (2022). Gambling-Related completed suicides: a scoping review. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16066359.2022.2055001>
- Erevik, E. K., Landrø, H., Mattson, Å. L., Kristensen, J. H., Kaur, P., & Pallesen, S. (2022). Problem gaming and suicidality: A systematic literature review. *Addict Behav Rep*, 15, 100419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2022.100419>
- John, A., Lee, S. C., Wardle, H., MaManus, S., & Dymond, S. (2019). *Exploring problem gambling, loneliness and lifetime suicidal behaviours: A cross-sectional study using the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2007* [Research report]. G. Commission. <https://preview-gamblecom.cloud.contensis.com/PDF/Report-2-Exploring-problem-gambling-loneliness-and-lifetime-suicidal-behaviours-a-cross-sectional-study-using-the-Adult-Psychiatric-Morbidity-Survey-2007.pdf>
- John, A., Wardle, H., McManus, S., & Dymond, S. (2019). *Scoping current evidence and evidence-gaps in research on gambling-related suicide*. GambleAware. <https://www.begambleaware.org/sites/default/files/2020-12/report-3-gambling-related-suicide-and-suicidal-behaviours.pdf>
- Karlsson, A., & Håkansson, A. (2018). Gambling disorder, increased mortality, suicidality, and associated comorbidity: A longitudinal nationwide register study. *J Behav Addict*, 7(4), 1091-1099. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.7.2018.112>
- Livingstone, C., & Rintoul, A. (2021). Gambling-related suicidality: stigma, shame, and neglect. *The Lancet Public Health*, 6(1), e4-e5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(20\)30257-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30257-7)
- Marionneau, V., & Nikkinen, J. (2022). Gambling-related suicides and suicidality: A systematic review of qualitative evidence [Systematic Review]. *Front Psychiatry*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2022.980303>
- Office for Health Improvement & Disparities. (2023). *The economic and social cost of harms associated with gambling in England* [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/1128002/The-economic-cost-of-gambling-related-harm-in-England\\_evidence-update-2023.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1128002/The-economic-cost-of-gambling-related-harm-in-England_evidence-update-2023.pdf)
- Sharman, S., Murphy, R., Turner, J., & Roberts, A. (2022). Predictors of suicide attempts in male UK gamblers seeking residential treatment. *Addict Behav*, 126, 107171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2021.107171>
- Wardle, H., John, A., Dymond, S., & McManus, S. (2020). Problem gambling and suicidality in England: Secondary analysis of a representative cross-sectional survey [Article]. *Public Health*, 184, 11-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.03.024>
- Wardle, H., & McManus, S. (2021). Suicidality and gambling among young adults in Great Britain: results from a cross-sectional online survey. *The Lancet Public Health*, 6(1), e39-e49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(20\)30232-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30232-2)