

Prevention and Education Review: Gambling-Related Harm

R E S E A R C H R E P O R T

2021

Peer Review Template

[INSERT SECTION TITLE HERE - (UNIVERSAL, SELECTIVE, OR INDICATED)]

Report section: (i.e., chapter)

Reviewer:

Review due date:

PEER REVIEW

The following questions are designed to elicit expert feedback to ensure that the chapter aligns with the goals of the broader Prevention and Education review being undertaken in support of the National Strategy to Reduce Gambling Harms. Please review the chapter scope provided to you before commenting on the following:

1. Is the purpose of the chapter clearly outlined?
2. Is the topic clearly situated within the context of gambling harm prevention and education?
3. Are the research questions clearly presented?
4. Is the argument for the choice of review type appropriate (i.e., systematic, scoping, narrative, etc.), bearing in mind the scope given to the author?
5. Authors were asked to provide a plain language overview of the methodology in the chapter text. A full description could be provided in an appendix.
 - a. For the text, is the methodology clearly and succinctly described in plain language?
 - b. For the appendix, is there sufficient detail provided for researchers to understand the process?

- c. Is a PRISMA flow diagram provided (where applicable)?
- 6. Does the author provide a sufficient assessment of data quality? (Note that a formal quality assessment is not normally required for a scoping review. Instead, authors were asked to provide an expert opinion of data quality).
- 7. Do the data support the author's interpretation of findings?
- 8. Have the limitations of research been adequately identified?
- 9. Are the author's comments on how the information may be used to inform a collective prevention and education plan consistent with the findings?
- 10. What is your general impression of the chapter?